

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/498,944	02/04/2000	Christopher Warnock	EBRA.001US1	9493
21971	7590 12/17/2003		EXAMINER	
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 650 PAGE MILL ROAD			HENEGHAN, MATTHEW E	
	LO ALTO, CA 943041050		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	· ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. `	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/498,944	WARNOCK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew Heneghan	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>1</u> MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Fe	ebruary 2000.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive	ion No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the fire 37 CFR 1.78.	of the certified copies not receive ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(st sentence of the specification o	e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet.				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 	··					
reference was included in the first sentence of th						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) D Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	6)					

Application/Control Number: 09/498,944

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-26, drawn to the purchasing of a document using a logic device, classified in class 705, subclass 26.
 - II. Claims 27-34, drawn to the issuance of a security key related to the viewing of a document and charging for it, classified in class 705, subclass 26.
 - III. Claims 35-38, drawn to the distribution of compensation in relation to the purchase of a document, classified in class 705, subclass 26.
 - IV. Claims 39-44, drawn to the browsing and downloading of portions of a document, including citation information, classified in class 715, subclass 500.
 - V. Claims 45-51, drawn to the use of a menu to perform a function on a document over a computer, classified in class 345, subclass 810.
 - VI. Claims 52-54, drawn to the purchase of a document from an Internet web page and the issuing of a security key, classified in class 705, subclass 26.

Application/Control Number: 09/498,944

Art Unit: 2134

Inventions I, III, IV, and V are related to invention II as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, inventions I, III, IV, and V have separate utility such as the sale of documents over a network in which all users are trusted, thereby removing the need for security keys.

See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I, IV, and V are related to invention III as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, inventions I, IV, and V have separate utility such as to sell documents which are all being offered by a single vendor. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it used be employed for the sale of any item from an on-line catalog. The subcombination has separate utility such as the allowing of a user to fetch only portions of a citation in preparation for a presentation.

Inventions I and IV are related to invention V as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each

Application/Control Number: 09/498,944

Art Unit: 2134

other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, inventions I and IV have separate utility such as the vending of a document using a user interface that uses icons, rather than being menu-driven. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I, II, III, IV, and V are related to invention VI as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, inventions I, II, III, IV, and V have separate utility such as the vending of documents over a private network. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each respective group is not required for each respective other group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Heneghan, whose telephone number is (703) 305-7727. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM - 4:00 PM Eastern Time. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse, can be reached on (703) 308-4789.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 2, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

MEH

December 1, 2003

GREGORY MORSE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100